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ABSTRACT

The word fistula is derived from a Latin word a red, pipe or flute. It implies a chronic granulating track connecting two epithelial-lined surfaces. The anal fistula is a single track with an external opening in the skin of perianal region and an internal opening in the modified skin or mucosa of anal canal or rectum. Fistula-in-ano is considered second to Hemorrhoids among all Ano-rectal abnormalities, is prevalent all over the world and its occurrence in a London Hospital Study (Marks & Richie, 1977) was reported to be 10% of all patients and 4% of all new out patients. Similar study in India (Raghavaiah, 1976) reported anal fistula to constitute 1.6% of all surgical admissions. In Ayurvedic classics, this disease has been described with the name of BHAGANDARA, which has more similar signs and symptoms with anal fistula. The importance of this disease was first realized by Sushruta (800-1000 B.C.), The Father of Indian Surgery, who described it elaborately in his treatise. In Ayurvedic classics, this disease has been described with the name of BHAGANDARA, which has more similar signs and symptoms with anal fistula. The importance of this disease was first realized by Sushruta (800-1000 B.C.), The Father of Indian Surgery, who described it elaborately in his treatise.
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INTRODUCTION

Fistula-in-ano is one of the most common ailments pertaining to ano-rectal region. This disease causes discomfort and pain to patient, which creates problems in routine work. In Ayurvedic classics, this disease has been described with the name of BHAGANDARA, which has more similar signs and symptoms with anal fistula and is encountered under Ashtamahagada. As the wound is located in anal region which is more prone to infection, thus takes long time to heal and the condition remains troublesome, operative procedures often leads to complications like recurrences and incontinence. To alleviate such problems in the management of this disease, it was thought to find out some technique to treat these cases without operative complications. Acharya sushruta in the 17th chapter of chikista stana mentioned the application of kshara sutra in Nadi vrana. The Kshara Sutra therapy was practiced and used in since long with great success and without recurrences. The Standard kshara sutra is prepared by repeated coatings of Snuhi ksheera, Aparma ksheera and Haridra. Still some of the problems are faced during the preparation and also in the course of Kshara sutra therapy, like collection and preservation of Snuhi ksheera, burning pain during primary and successive changes. Local irritant skin reactions during course of therapy etc. To overcome these disadvantages was of utmost importance to make the treatment widely popular and acceptable. In spite of the good rates of cutting, severe pain and burning sensation caused during the treatment with held many patients from accepting this treatment.

Thus it gave to many Kshara sutras were tried out .Though each of the thread had good cutting rates and other preparation advantages they also had some disadvantages. Keeping in view the same idea Palash Ksharasutra was tried in the present study.

Aims and Objectives

- Detail and descriptive study of Bhagandara.
- To explore the efficacy of Palash ksharasutra in the management of Bhagandara.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The most important requirement in the clinical study is a well defined protocol. So, in the present study following protocol was followed.
Source of Data: The present clinical study on the management of mutrashmari was carried out at N.K.J.A.M.C. Bidar. This study was carried out at O. P. D. level and the work was limited according to the facilities available in the P. G. Dept. of shalya Tantra. The data was also collected by conducting camps for the purpose of clinical study.

Selection Criteria: The selection of cases was done on the bases of clinical presentation and the diagnosis was established accordingly. The patients were registered according to the proforma prepared for the study irrespective of their sex, occupation and socio – economic status.

Inclusive Criteria
- Selection of the patients will be selected 16 -70 age, irrespective sex, religion, occupation, economic status, etc.
- Low anal fistula.

Exclusion Criteria
- Patients with high rectal fistula.
- Patients suffering from systemic diseases like TB, DM, Ca Rectum, HBsAg, HIV.
- Patients suffering from ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s diseases.

Study Design
Being a clinical study, 30 patients will be selected by Simple Randomized Sampling procedure. All the patients will be screened out by inclusive and exclusive criteria and registered for clinical trial divided in two equal groups.

Group 1 (Trial Group): 15 patients of Bhagandara will be treated with Palasha Ksharasutra.
Group 2 (Control Group): 15 patients of Bhagandara will be treated with Apamarga Ksharasutra.

In both the groups Ksharsutra will be changed weekly. The duration of the study will be 28 days in total. Patients will be called on every 7th days and the cutting rate will be assessed in a specially prepared case sheet. Observations will be analyzed on the basis of assessment parameter (both subjective and objective) critically and scientifically before treatment and after treatment on the 7th, 14th, 21st and 28th day. Finally the result will be statistically evaluated with the help of paired ‘t’ test within the group for its significance.

Materials
- Palasha Ksharasutra
- Apamarga Kshara sutra.

Both the Kshara sutras will be prepared according to the standard method as per Dr. P.J. Deshpande

Assessment Criteria

Subjective criteria
Pain according to
Grade 0 (-)-Absolute No pain
Grade 1 (+)-Mild pain
Grade 2 (++)-Moderate pain
Grade 3 (+++)-Severe pain

Itching
Grade 0 (-)-No itching
Grade 1 (+)-Itching sometimes but not interfering with activities can be controlled voluntarily
Grade 2 (++)-Itching interference with function involuntary and uncontrolled associated with skin patches.
Grade 3 (+++)-Itching that disturbs the sleep and or demand treatment associated with skin patches.

Discharge
Grade 0 (-)-No Discharge
Grade 1 (+)-Mild discharge single pad is sufficient per day.
Grade 2 (++)-Moderate discharge 2-3 pads necessary per day
Grade 3 (+++)-Profuse discharge more than 3 pads are necessary per day

Objective Criteria

Local tenderness: - was assessed by palpation of anal region.
- G₀ – No tenderness
- G₁ – mild – tender but can be palpated.
- G₂- moderate – tender on gentle palpation.
- G₃- Severe – patient denies touching.

Induration
- G₀ - Absent
- G₁ - Slight swelling around the wound margin without indurations.
- G₂ - Swelling around wound margin with little area of indurations.
- G₃ - Swelling with marked indurations.
Length of tract (in cm)

\[
\text{Unit cutting time: } \frac{\text{Initial length of the tract} - \text{length of the tract remaining}}{\text{No of weeks taken}}
\]

Investigation Required

Blood Routine & Urine Examination. Culture and Sensitivity of wound discharge (if necessary), Fistulography (if necessary),

RESULTS

The above statistical analysis shows that in case of

Pain: The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.06±0.18 and was same 2.06±0.18 after 7 days, was reduced to 1.86±0.13 after 14 days, and 1.53±0.16 after 21 days. 0.86±0.13 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that palash is highly Significant to reduce pain with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3&AT4 respectively.

Swelling
The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.21±0.18 and was same 2.21±0.18 after 7 days, was reduced to 2.21±0.18 after 14 days, and 1.21±0.10 after 21 days. 0.42±0.13 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that palash is highly Significant to reduce swelling with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3&AT4 respectively.
**Itching:** The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.06±0.18 and was same 2.06±0.18 after 7 days, was same to 2.06±0.18 after 14 days, and was reduced to 1.0±0.13 after 21 days. 0.4±0.13 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that palash is highly Significant to reduce itching with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3 & AT4 respectively.

**Discharge:** The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.33±0.18 and was same 2.33±0.18 after 7 days, was reduced to 1.73±0.15 after 14 days, and 1.2±0.10 after 21 days. 0.46±0.13 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that palash is highly Significant to reduce Discharge with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3 & AT4 respectively.

**Local of Tenderness:** The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.4±0.13 and was same 2.4±0.13 after 7 days, was reduced to 1.73±0.15 after 14 days, and 1.2±0.10 after 21 days. 0.66±0.12 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that palash is highly Significant to reduce Local tenderness with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3 & AT4 respectively.

**Length of Track:** The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 3.46±0.17 and was same 3.46±0.17 after 7 days, was reduced to 2.50±0.17 after 14 days, and 1.57±0.14 after 21 days. 0.57±0.10 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that palash is highly Significant to reduce Length of track with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3 & AT4 respectively.
Pain: The mean ± S.E. 1.53±0.16 before treatment was the same 1.53±0.16 after 7 days, was reduced to 1.73±0.15 after 14 days, 1.26±0.15 and after 21 days. 0.73±0.11 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that Apamarga is highly Significant to reduce pain with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3&AT4 respectively.

Swelling: The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.13±0.16 and was same 2.13±0.16 after 7 days, was reduced to 2.06±0.18 after 14 days, and 1.13±0.135 after 21 days. 0.2±0.10 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that Apamarga highly Significant to reduce swelling with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3&AT4 respectively.

The above statistical analysis shows that in case of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control group result:2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pain</strong> on VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Swelling** | **Assessment** | **Mean ± S.E.** | **Df** | **T-value** | **p-value** | **Effectiveness %** | **Remark** |
| 1 | 2.13±0.16 | 1.46 | 0.08 | >0.05 | 9.67 | NS |
| 2 | 1.86±0.13 | 1.87 | 0.082 | >0.05 | 9.67 | NS |
| 3 | 0.86±0.13 | 8.29 | 9.02E-07 | <0.001 | 58.06 | S |
| 4 | 0.2±0.10 | 9.72 | 1.13E-07 | <0.001 | 90.32 | HS |

**Effectiveness % of Control Group-2**

- **Pain:** The mean ± S.E. 1.53±0.16 before treatment was same 1.53±0.16 after 7 days, was reduced to 1.73±0.15 after 14 days, 1.26±0.15 and after 21 days. 0.73±0.11 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that Apamarga is highly Significant to reduce pain with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3&AT4 respectively.

- **Swelling:** The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.13±0.16 and was same 2.13±0.16 after 7 days, was reduced to 2.06±0.18 after 14 days, and 1.13±0.135 after 21 days. 0.2±0.10 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that Apamarga highly Significant to reduce swelling with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3&AT4 respectively.
Apamarga is highly significant to reduce Discharge with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3 & AT4 respectively.

Itching: The mean ± S.E. before treatment was 2.06±0.18 and was same 2.06±0.18 after 7 days, was reduced to 1.86±0.13 after 14 days, and 0.86±0.13 after 21 days. 0.2±0.10 after 28 days. The test of significance shows that Apamarga is highly Significant to reduce itching with the P-value <0.001 in AT1, AT2, AT3 & AT4 respectively.

Discharge: The mean ± S.E. before treatment was, 2.13±0.13 and was same 2.13±0.13 after 7 days, was reduced 1.6±0.13 after 14 days, and 1±0 after 21 days. 0.2±0.10 after 28 days. Where at the 1st follow up on 7th day in group I, 20 patients (80%) complete cured. In group II, 20 patients (90%) got complete cured.

After comparison of all above figures of signs & symptoms in Trial and Control groups, we can conclude that the treatment used in control group i.e. Treatment of Bhagandara (Fistula-in-ano) by Apamarga ksharasutra is more effective as compared to the treatment trail group Arka ksharasutra.

Over all clinical assessment of therapy

DISCUSSION

After comparison of all above figures of signs & symptoms in Trial and Control groups, we can conclude that the treatment used in control group i.e. Treatment of Bhagandara (Fistula-in-ano) by Apamarga ksharasutra is more effective as compared to the treatment trail group Arka ksharasutra.

Conclusion

After a clinical observation and statistical evaluation the following conclusions were drawn.

- The management of fistula in ano by kharra sutra has been proved effective by this study.
- The undesired effects of ksharasutra management could be minimized by using palash ksharasutra.
- Palash kshara sutra has been found very effective in relieving symptoms i.e reduces pain, swelling, itching, discharge, and local tenderness in fistula in shorter time.
- Both the varieties are cost effective can be easily prepared and can be easily applied with less recurrence after treatment.
- Management with Apamarga ksharasutra shows superiority than Palash ksharasutra.

REFERENCES
